PHYS127AL Lecture 16

David Stuart, UC Santa Barbara Active filters

Review: Frequency response function for a high-pass filter

$$
\tilde{V}_{\text{out}} = \tilde{I}\tilde{X}_R = \tilde{I}R = \frac{\tilde{V}_{\text{in}}}{R + \tilde{X}_C}R = \tilde{V}_{\text{in}} \frac{R}{R + \tilde{X}_C} \qquad \text{V}_{\text{in}} \sim \frac{C}{R}
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{V}_{\text{out}} = \tilde{V}_{\text{in}} \left[\frac{R}{R - j/\omega C} \right] = \tilde{V}_{\text{in}} \left[\frac{R}{R - j/\omega C} \right] \left[\frac{R + j/\omega C}{R + j/\omega C} \right] \qquad \sum_{R} \sum_{R} \tilde{V}_{\text{out}} = \tilde{V}_{\text{in}} \frac{R^2 + jR/\omega C}{R^2 + 1/\omega^2 C^2} = \tilde{V}_{\text{in}} \frac{1 + j/\omega RC}{1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2}
$$
\n
$$
|\tilde{V}_{\text{out}}| = |\tilde{V}_{\text{in}}| \left| \frac{1 + j\omega RC}{1 + \omega^2 R^2 C^2} \right|
$$
\n
$$
\frac{|\tilde{V}_{\text{out}}|}{|\tilde{V}_{\text{in}}|} = \left| \frac{1 + j/\omega RC}{1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2} \right| = \sqrt{\left[\frac{1 + j/\omega RC}{1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2} \right] \left[\frac{1 - j/\omega RC}{1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2} \right]}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{|\tilde{V}_{\text{out}}|}{|\tilde{V}_{\text{in}}|} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2}{(1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2)^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2}}{1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2}}
$$

Review: Frequency response function for a high-pass filter

$$
\frac{|\tilde{V}_{out}|}{|\tilde{V}_{in}|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 1/\omega^2 R^2 C^2}} = \frac{\omega RC}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2 R^2 C^2}} \quad v_{in} \sim \frac{C}{1 + C_{out}}
$$
\n
$$
V_{out} \to 0 \text{ as } \omega \to 0 \text{ and } V_{out} \to V_{in} \text{ as } \omega \to \infty.
$$
\n
$$
\frac{|V_{out}|}{|V_{in}|} \uparrow
$$
\n
$$
0.7 \cdots
$$
\n
$$
0.7 \cdots
$$
\n
$$
0.9 \cdots
$$

Review: Frequency response function for a high-pass filter

This improved the low-end frequency response, but we lose more at the high end.

This improved the low-end frequency response, but we lose more at the high end.

This improved the low-end frequency response, but we lose more at the high end. We could add more stages to further suppress the low end.

- This improved the low-end frequency response, but we lose more at the high end. We could add more stages to further suppress the low end.
- Two problems: lose the high end and need (x10)4 impedance increases.

- This improved the low-end frequency response, but we lose more at the high end. We could add more stages to further suppress the low end.
- Two problems: lose the high end and need $(x10)⁴$ impedance increases.
- Fix the impedance with op-amp buffers.

- This improved the low-end frequency response, but we lose more at the high end. We could add more stages to further suppress the low end.
- Two problems: lose the high end and need $(x10)⁴$ impedance increases.
- Fix the impedance with op-amp buffers.
- We could regain the high end by putting gain into the op-amp, and ideally make the gain be frequency dependent.

Another problem is that each stage introduces a phase shift that could build up. We could avoid the phase shift with an LC filter instead of RC.

Another problem is that each stage introduces a phase shift that could build up. We could avoid the phase shift with an LC filter instead of RC.

Shrinking an inductor

- The problem with inductors is that they are physically huge.
- Resistors and capacitors can be made small $(\sim \mu m)$ with photolithography.
- It would be nice to emulate an inductance with tiny components (like R, C, and op-amps)
- $X_L = j\omega L$ while $X_C = -j/\omega C$.
- To convert a capacitance to an inductance we need to *invert* the frequency dependence and *negate* the impedance. (Negative impedance means that a higher voltage reduces the current.)
- We can do that with a "negative impedance converter".

The problem with inductors is that they are physically huge.

A negative impedance converter helps provide the inductor emulation.

The problem with inductors is that they are physically huge.

A negative impedance converter helps provide the inductor emulation.

$$
V_A = V_{in} (1 + X_2/X_1)
$$

$$
I_3 = (V_A - V_{in})/X_3 = (V_{in} + V_{in} X_2/X_1 - V_{in})/X_3
$$

= $V_{in} X_2/(X_1X_3)$

I₃ flows *from* A *into* the input, so $I_{in} = -I_3$.

The problem with inductors is that they are physically huge.

A negative impedance converter helps provide the inductor emulation.

$$
V_A=V_{in}\left(1+X_2/X_1\right)
$$

 $I_3 = (V_A - V_{in})/X_3 = (V_{in} + V_{in} X_2/X_1 - V_{in})/X_3$ $=$ V_{in} X₂/(X₁X₃)

I₃ flows *from* A *into* the input, so $I_{in} = -I_3$.

$$
X_{in} = \Delta V_{in} / \Delta I_{in} = \Delta V_{in} / (-\Delta V_{in} X_{2} / (X_{1} X_{3}))
$$

 $X_{in} = -X_1 X_3 / X_2$

The problem with inductors is that they are physically huge.

A negative impedance converter helps provide the inductor emulation.

 $V_A = V_{in} (1 + X_2/X_1)$

 $I_3 = (V_A - V_{in})/X_3 = (V_{in} + V_{in} X_2/X_1 - V_{in})/X_3$ $=$ V_{in} X₂/(X₁X₃)

I₃ flows *from* A *into* the input, so $I_{in} = -I_3$.

 $X_{in} = \Delta V_{in} / \Delta I_{in} = \Delta V_{in} / (-\Delta V_{in} X_2 / (X_1 X_3))$

 $X_{in} = -X_1 X_3 / X_2$ $X_{in} = -X_3$

The problem with inductors is that they are physically huge.

A negative impedance converter helps provide the inductor emulation.

$$
V_A = V_{in} \left(1 + X_2/X_1\right)
$$

 $I_3 = (V_A - V_{in})/X_3 = (V_{in} + V_{in} X_2/X_1 - V_{in})/X_3$ $=$ V_{in} X₂/(X₁X₃)

I₃ flows *from* A *into* the input, so $I_{in} = -I_3$.

$$
X_{in} = \Delta V_{in} / \Delta I_{in} = \Delta V_{in} / (-\Delta V_{in} X_{2} / (X_{1} X_{3}))
$$

 $X_{in} = -X_1 X_3 / X_2$ $X_{in} = -R R / (-j/\omega C) = R^2 \omega C/j$ $X_{in} = -j\omega CR^2$

Combine this with previous, plug it in using the fact that *ground is just a reference*.

 $X = j\omega CR^2$ which is like an $L = CR^2$.

Sallen-Key filter configuration

Can combine R's, C's, and op-amps in general configuration.

Analyzing the V_{out} vs V_{in} behavior can be done with the golden rules. But let's do that more generally.

Can combine R's, C's, and op-amps in general configuration.

Analyzing the V_{out} vs V_{in} behavior can be done with the golden rules and Ohm's law.

 $V_{+} = ?$ $V_A = ?$ $V_A - V_+ = ?$

 $V_{+} = ?$

Can combine R's, C's, and op-amps in general configuration.

Analyzing the V_{out} vs V_{in} behavior can be done with the golden rules and Ohm's law.

$$
V_{+} = V_{out}
$$

\n
$$
V_{A} = V_{in} - I_{1} X_{1}
$$

\n
$$
V_{A} - V_{+} = I_{2} X_{2}
$$

\n
$$
V_{+} = I_{4} X_{4} = I_{2} X_{4}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} - V_{out} = I_{3} X_{3}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} - V_{out} = I_{4} X_{4}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

\n
$$
V_{B} = \frac{X_{3} X_{4}}{X_{1} X_{2} + X_{3} (X_{1} + X_{2}) + X_{3} X_{4}}
$$

Can combine R's, C's, and op-amps in general configuration.

Analyzing the V_{out} vs V_{in} behavior can be done with the golden rules and Ohm's law.

 $V_+ = V_{\text{out}}$ $V_A = V_{in} - I_1 X_1$ $V_A - V_+ = I_2 X_2$ $V_+ = I_4 X_4 = I_2 X_4$ V_A - V_{out} = $I_3 X_3$ $V_+ = V_A X_4 / (X_2 + X_4)$

$$
\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \frac{X_3 X_4}{X_1 X_2 + X_3 (X_1 + X_2) + X_3 X_4}
$$

You can choose any mix of R and C (or even L with NIC) to get whatever relationship you want.

We could also add amplification.

Can combine R's, C's, and op-amps in general configuration.

- Can vary X1 X4 and gain to get a variety of different response types.
- You will not be likely to build your own active filter.
- Can buy them to match specs.

Can combine R's, C's, and op-amps in general configuration.

- Can vary X1 X4 and gain to get a variety of different response types.
- You will not be likely to build your own active filter.
- Can buy them to match specs.
- But they can cost \$5 \$10.

It is getting more common to simply sample, digitally process, and then re-drive.

It is getting more common to simply sample, digitally process, and then re-drive.

Instead of a full operating system, you can just use a micro controller, like the OpenScope and AD2.

PIC = peripheral interface controller

It is getting more common to simply sample, digitally process, and then re-drive.

For processing a lot of parallel data, can use a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) which can process hundreds of inputs at O(GHz) in parallel.

It is getting more common to simply sample, digitally process, and then re-drive.

For processing a lot of parallel data, can use a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) which can process hundreds of inputs at O(GHz) in parallel.

Many inputs, so have a 2D grid of connections with sub-mm spacing.

It is getting more common to simply sample, digitally process, and then re-drive.

For processing a lot of parallel data, can use a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) which can process hundreds of inputs at O(GHz) in parallel.

Many inputs, so have a 2D grid of connections with sub-mm spacing.

- It is getting more common to simply sample, digitally process, and then re-drive.
- For processing a lot of parallel data, can use a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) which can process hundreds of inputs at $O(GHz)$ in parallel.
- Many inputs, so have a 2D grid of connections with sub-mm spacing.
- But "better is the enemy of good."
- You can often just think about it and "add a cap" or an inductor to make it work.

As a hint toward next time: What does this circuit do?

